TABLES

1-1	1998 per Day and per Angler Damages for Open-Water Fishing in the Wisconsin Waters of Green Bay
1-2	Total Values for Recreational Fishing Service Losses for the Waters of Green Bay Resulting from Fish Consumption Advisories for PCBs
2-1	Hours of Fishing Effort on the Michigan and Wisconsin Waters of Green Bay: 1990-1998
2-2	Open-Water Fishing Hours on the Fox River from Its Mouth to the Dam at DePere: 1990-1998
2-3	Ice-Fishing Hours on the Wisconsin Waters of Green Bay: 1990-1998
2-3	Percent of Total Catch by Species for the Wisconsin Waters of Green Bay
2-5	and Lake Michigan: 1990-1998
2-3	Species: 1986-1998
2-6	Percent of Targeted Open-Water Angling Hours on Wisconsin Waters
2-0	of Green Bay by Species: 1986-1998
2-7	Percent of Catch on Michigan Waters of Green Bay by Species: 1985-1998 2-8
2-8	Fish Consumption Advisories for the Wisconsin Waters of Green Bay: 1976-1999 2-11
2-9	Fish Consumption Advisories for the Lower Fox River between Green Bay
	and the Dam at DePere
2-10	1998 Wisconsin FCAs for Green Bay and Fox River, and Michigan FCAs
	for Lower Green Bay, Upper Green Bay, and Little Bay de Noc 2-15
2-11	State of Michigan Fish Consumption Advisories for Green Bay South
	of Cedar River: 1988-1997
2-12	State of Michigan Fish Consumption Advisories for Little Bay de Noc: 1989-1997 . 2-18
2-13	Studies of Behavioral Responses by Anglers to Fish Consumption Advisories 2-19
2-14	Selected Valuation Studies for Changes on Catch Rates
2-15	Selected Valuation Studies for the Reduction of Toxins at Fishing Sites 2-24
3-1	Percent of Boat Anglers from Lake Michigan District Counties Choosing the
	Fox River or Green Bay as Their Most Frequently Visited Site
3-2	1997-1998 Angling License Samples Obtained
3-3	Timeline for Sampling of Licenses by County
3-4	1997-1998 Angling License Sample Obtained
3-5	Proportion of Starting Sample with Available Phone Number
3-6	Disposition of Telephone Survey Sample
3-7	Disposition of Sample by County Where License Purchased

3-8	Telephone Survey Respondent Green Bay Fishing Activity in 1998 3-13
3-9	Recreation Survey Pretesting Steps
3-10	Disposition of Mail Survey Sample
3-11	Mean Fishing Days to All Sites in 1998 by Green Bay Experience
3-12	Socioeconomic Profile by Green Bay Experience
3-13	Importance Rating of 10 Actions to Improve Wisconsin Fishing
3-14	Fishing Days in 1998: Mail Respondents versus Nonrespondents
3-15	Importance Rating of 10 Actions to Improve Wisconsin Fishing: Mail Survey
2 16	Respondents versus Nonrespondents
3-16	Adjustment from the Mail Sample Estimated Open-Water Fishing Days to the Population Estimated Open-Water Fishing Days in 1998 for Anglers Active
	in Open-Water Fishing on the Wisconsin Waters of Green Bay
3-17	1998 Green Bay Angler Incidence Rate by County Where License Purchased 3-34
3-17	Number and Percent of Sampled 1998 Green Bay Angler Fishing Days by
3 10	Resident State/County
3-19	Mean Days Fishing Green Bay in 1998 by Resident State
4-1	Number of Days Fishing in 1998 by Mail Survey Respondents
4-2	Total Number of Reported Fishing Days on Wisconsin Waters of Green Bay in
	1998, by Residence, for Mail Survey Respondents
4-3	Number of Reported Fishing Days on the Fox River between the Mouth and
	DePere Dam as Compared to All Wisconsin Waters of Green Bay in
	1998, for Mail Survey Respondents
4-4	Perceived Average Time to Catch a Fish in Green Bay
4-5	Typical Expenditures on Green Bay Fishing Days
4-6	Angler Rating of Importance of Actions in Terms of How the Actions Would
17	Enhance Recreational Fishing on the Waters of Green Bay
4-7 4-8	Statements about Catch Rates
4-0	Contamination
4-9	How Bothered Anglers Would Be by Different Levels of FCAs for the Fish They
1 -)	Target in Green Bay
4-13	Respondent Perception of Current FCAs on Green Bay
4-14	Behavioral Changes in Response to FCAs for Green Bay
	Zonaviolar changes in response to 1 cris for cross Zuj
5-1	Average Time to Catch a Fish in Green Bay 5-10
5-2	Perceived Average Time to Catch a Fish in Green Bay 5-10
5-3	Green Bay FCA Levels for an Average Size Fish 5-12
5-4	1998 Wisconsin FCAs for Green Bay and Fox River for Selected Species 5-13
5-5	Pearson Correlation Coefficients between Green Bay Characteristics across
	the Choice Pairs
5-6	Importance of Green Bay Characteristics to Choice Pair Decisions 5-16

5-7	Mean Importance of Green Bay Characteristics to Choice Pair Decisions	
	by Target	5-17
5-8	Mean Importance of Green Bay Characteristics to Choice Pair Decisions by Avidity	
	in 1998	5-18
5-9	Mean Characteristics Levels for the Preferred Alternatives by Whether	
	the Characteristics Were Important to Choice	5-19
5-10	Mean Characteristics Levels for the Preferred Alternatives by Target Species	5-20
5-11	Comparison of Expected Days to Visit Preferred Green Bay Alternative	
	to Reported Days	5-23
5-12	Mean Characteristics Levels for the Preferred Alternatives by Whether	
	Respondent Expects to Spend Fewer, the Same as, or More than Current Days	5-24
8-1	WTP per Green Bay Fishing Day and per Fishing Day	. 8-4
8-2	Comparison of Wisconsin and Michigan Counties near Green Bay	
9-1	Comparison of Mean WTP Estimates across Models	. 9-2
10-1	Total Values for Recreational Fishing Service Losses for the Waters of Green Bay	
	Resulting from Fish Consumption Advisories for PCBs	10-2
10-2	Key Omissions and Biases in the Estimated Values for Recreational	
	Fishing Losses	10-11