## ApPENDIX F Supporting Data

| Table F-1 <br> Avidity for Anglers Who Fished Green Bay in 1998 by Gender (telephone survey data) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Telephone Screener Respondents |  | Mail Survey Respondents |  |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Number in sample | 742 | 164 | 528 | 119 |
| Mean total days (SE) <br> - Open water | $\begin{gathered} 25.7 \\ (0.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.5 \\ (1.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.52 \\ & (1.11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 15.9 \\ (1.58) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean Green Bay days (SE) <br> - Open water | $\begin{gathered} 9.7 \\ (0.52) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.0 \\ (0.89) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \\ (0.64) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.9 \\ (0.82) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean total days (SE) <br> - Ice and open water | $\begin{gathered} 31.1 \\ (10.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.3 \\ (2.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.0 \\ (1.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.7 \\ (1.84) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean Green Bay days (SE) <br> - Ice and open water | $\begin{aligned} & 11.5 \\ & (0.6) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.0 \\ (0.98) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 11.7 \\ (0.73) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.7 \\ (0.91) \end{gathered}$ |


| Table F-2 <br> Type of License Purchased by Angler for Telephone Completes vs. Incompletes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of License | All Anglers Set Up |  | Completed TelephoneSurvey |  | Did Not Complete Telephone Survey |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Resident | 3,149 | 46.3\% | 1,456 | 45.6\% | 1,693 | 46.9\% |
| 2. Husband and wife | 1,593 | 23.4\% | 826 | 25.9\% | 767 | 21.3\% |
| 3. Sportsman | 581 | 8.5\% | 312 | 9.8\% | 269 | 7.5\% |
| 4.2 day | 608 | 8.9\% | 237 | 7.4\% | 371 | 10.3\% |
| 5. Nonresident annual | 178 | 2.6\% | 84 | 2.6\% | 94 | 2.6\% |
| 6. Nonresident 15 day | 98 | 1.4\% | 43 | 1.3\% | 55 | 1.5\% |
| 7. Nonresident 4 day | 414 | 6.1\% | 153 | 4.8\% | 261 | 7.2\% |
| 8. Nonresident family annual | 93 | 1.4\% | 40 | 1.3\% | 53 | 1.5\% |
| 9. Nonresident family 15 day | 75 | 1.1\% | 34 | 1.1\% | 41 | 1.1\% |
| 10. Patron | 10 | 0.1\% | 5 | 0.2\% | 5 | 0.1\% |
| Total | 6,799 | 100.0\% | 3,190 | 100.0\% | 3,609 | 100.0\% |


| Table F-3 <br> County Where License Purchased for Telephone Completes vs. Incompletes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County Where License | All Anglers Set Up |  | CompletedTelephone Survey |  | Did Not Complete Telephone Survey |  |
| Was Purchased | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Brown | 1,407 | 20.7\% | 658 | 20.6\% | 749 | 20.8\% |
| 2. Manitowoc | 609 | 9.0\% | 317 | 9.9\% | 292 | 8.1\% |
| 3. Marinette | 736 | 10.8\% | 354 | 11.1\% | 382 | 10.6\% |
| 4. Oconto | 502 | 7.4\% | 247 | 7.7\% | 255 | 7.1\% |
| 5. Outagamie | 1,011 | 14.9\% | 514 | 16.1\% | 497 | 13.8\% |
| 6. Winnebago | 1,270 | 18.7\% | 554 | 17.4\% | 716 | 19.8\% |
| 7. Door | 841 | 12.4\% | 343 | 10.8\% | 498 | 13.8\% |
| 8. Kewaunee | 423 | 6.2\% | 203 | 6.4\% | 220 | 6.1\% |
| Total | 6,799 | 100.0\% | 3,190 | 100.0\% | 3,609 | 100.0\% |


| Table F-4 <br> Comments about Green Bay (mail survey Question 2) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rating of Green Bay Relative to Other Places |  |  |  |
| Reasons for Rating | Worse | Same | Better | Don't <br> Know |
| Number of respondents | 202 | 180 | 221 | 33 |
| Site or use of site - negative (weather, location, facilities . . .) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 23.8\% | 8.3\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% |
| Site or use of site - positive (weather, location, facilities . . .) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.5\% | 2.8\% | 12.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Catch — negative <br> (poor rate, variety, size, limit . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 50.5\% | 15.0\% | 14.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Catch — positive <br> (good rate, variety, size, limit . . .) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.5\% | 6.7\% | 49.3\% | 0.0\% |
| General comment - negative (e.g., I don't like Green Bay/other place . . . ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 14.4\% | 5.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% |
| General comment - positive (e.g., I like Green Bay/other place . . . $)^{\text {a }}$ | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 20.4\% | 0.0\% |
| Don't own a boat | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% |
| Have limited information about Green Bay or other sites | 13.9\% | 10.6\% | 4.5\% | 72.7\% |
| Can't eat the fish/PCBs | 16.8\% | 1.1\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% |
| Can eat the fish | 0.5\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Other pollution or contamination issues | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% |
| Water is clean/clarity improving | 3.0\% | 1.7\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% |
| a. Though respondents usually made comments about Green Bay relative to another site, some also made comments about other sites relative to Green Bay. Thus, respondents who think Green Bay is worse and respondents who think Green Bay is better, could both be coded as making a negative comment about fishing sites. In the former case the negative comment is about Green Bay and in the latter it is about another site. Most of the negative comments from respondents who rated Green Bay as "worse" pertained to Green Bay; the opposite is true for those rating Green Bay as "better." |  |  |  |  |

Table F-5
Number of Reported Open-Water Fishing Days on Wisconsin Water of Green Bay in 1998, by Residence, for Mail Survey Respondents (combined telephone and mail survey data)

| Residence | Number of Anglers | Total Trips |  | Days per Angler |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | \% of Total Green Bay Open-Water Days | Mean (SE) | Median | Mode | Min. | Max. |
| Other states Total | 117 | 770 | 12\% | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.6 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 1 | 1 | 95 |
| Michigan | 13 | 266 | 4\% | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 20.5 \\ (7.63) \end{array}$ | 10 | 1 | 1 | 95 |
| Wisconsin, but not eight targeted counties | 37 | 291 | 5\% | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.9 \\ (1.4) \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 2 | 1 | 30 |
| Eight targeted counties | 493 | 5,379 | 84\% | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 10.9 \\ (0.67) \end{array}$ | 5 | 2 | 1 | 120 |
| Brown County | 195 | 2,124 | 33\% | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 10.9 \\ (1.14) \end{array}$ | 5 | 2 | 1 | 120 |
| Door County | 46 | 792 | 12\% | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 17.2 \\ (2.93) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 10 | 4 | 1 | 90 |
| Kewaunee County | 20 | 308 | 5\% | $\begin{gathered} 15.4 \\ (5.36) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 6.5 | 1 | 1 | 90 |
| Manitowoc County | 49 | 382 | 6\% | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 7.8 \\ (1.36) \end{array}$ | 5 | 10 | 1 | 62 |
| Marinette County | 59 | 702 | 11\% | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 11.9 \\ (1.54) \end{array}$ | 6 | 5 | 1 | 60 |
| Oconto County | 37 | 400 | 6\% | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 10.8 \\ (2.06) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 6 | 3 | 1 | 45 |
| Outagamie County | 53 | 388 | 6\% | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.3 \\ (1.31) \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 1 | 1 | 50 |
| Winnebago County | 34 | 283 | 4\% | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8.3 \\ (2.28) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4 | 1 | 1 | 75 |
| All mail survey respondents | 647 | 6,440 | 100\% | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 10.0 \\ (0.55) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5 | 2 | 1 | 120 |

Table F-6
Number of Reported Fishing Days on the Fox River between the Mouth and DePere Dam as Compared to All Wisconsin Waters of Green Bay in 1998, for Telephone Survey Respondents Who Fished Green Bay in 1998 ( $\mathbf{N}=906)^{\text {a }}$ (telephone survey data)

|  | Total Days |  | Days per Angler |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of Total Green Bay Fishing Days | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { (SE) } \end{gathered}$ | Median | Mode | Min. | Max. |
| Green Bay total days All sites | 9,832 | 100\% | $\begin{gathered} 10.9 \\ (0.52) \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 2 | 1 | 142 |
| On Fox River | 1,579 | 16.1\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ (0.26) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 |
| Green Bay openwater days <br> All sites <br> On Fox River | 8,316 | 84.6\% | $\begin{gathered} 9.2 \\ (0.46) \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 2 | 0 | 120 |
|  | 1,365 | 13.9\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ (0.23) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110 |
| Green Bay ice-fishing days <br> All sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,516 | 15.4\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ (0.15) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| On Fox River | 214 | 2.2\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ (0.05) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| a. All anglers who fished the Wisconsin waters of Green Bay, including those who only open-water fished, only ice fished, or did both open-water and ice fishing. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table F-7 <br> Size of Fish Caught in the Wisconsin Waters of Lake Michigan Waters |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Mean Length (inches): 1988-1998 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Typical Range ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (inches) |
| Coho salmon | 21.52 | 11-26 |
| Chinook salmon | 27.34 | 20-35 |
| Rainbow trout | 26.13 | 20-30 |
| Brown trout | 20.87 | 16-24 |
| Brook trout ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 13.94 | 10-16 |
| Lake trout | 27.43 | 17-27 |
| Walleye | Not reported | 13-25 |
| a. Source: WDNR, 1999 Wisconsin's 1998 Open Water Sportfishing Effort and Catch from Lake Michigan and Green Bay. http://www/dnr/state/wi/us/org/water/fhp/fish/lakemich. <br> b. Source: Wisconsin Sea Grant Fish of the Great Lakes. <br> http://h2o.seagrantwisc.edu/communications/publications/Fish.Michpage.html. <br> c. 1988 through 1995 only. 1993 is the last year more than 100 brook trout were caught on Green Bay. There were no brook trout registered as caught after 1996 through 1998. |  |  |


| Table F-8 <br> Anglers Purchasing Licenses in Eight Targeted Counties in 1997 by License Category |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| License Category | Number of Licenses | Number of Anglers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1. Resident fishing | 76,536 | 76,536 |
| 2. Nonresident fishing | 5,575 | 5,575 |
| 3. Nonresident 15 -day fishing | 2,461 | 2,461 |
| 4. Sportsman | 14,182 | 14,182 |
| 5. Patron | 2,049 | 2,049 |
| 6. Resident husband and wife fishing | 18,405 | 36,810 |
| 7. Nonresident family fishing | 1,155 | 2,310 |
| 8. Nonresident family 15-day fishing | 940 | 1,880 |
| 9. Two-day sports | 15,716 | 7,858 |
| 10. Nonresident 4-day fishing | 10,244 | 5,122 |
| Total | 147,263 | 154,783 |
| a. Categories 1 through 5 licenses counted as one angler; categories 6 through 8 licenses counted as two anglers; categories 9 and 10 counted as half an angler. <br> Source for fishing licenses sold: Based on WDNR Bureau of Customer Service and Licensing, Report of Fishing Licenses Sold by County, B130-30. |  |  |

